

\$~25&30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
LA.APP. 125/2013

SRI GOPAL(DECEASED) THR HIS LEGAL & ORS.... Appellants

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR Respondents

Through: Ms. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ **LA.APP. 143/2013**

SATISH KUMAR Appellant

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

versus

UOI & ANR Respondents

Through: Ms. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

05.09.2018

1. These appeals both under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 impugn the judgments and decrees in a Reference under Section 18 of the Act and seek further enhancement in compensation.
2. The appeals were admitted for hearing and ordered to be listed in the category of "Regulars"
3. The counsel for the respondent no.1 Union of India (UOI) on request of this Court to furnish particulars of 'covered' matters pending consideration, furnished the particulars and the Registry was directed to list the said matters in the cause list in the category of 'After Notice

Miscellaneous Matters'. The Court Master was also directed to telephonically inform the Advocates for the private parties of the matters so ordered to be listed.

4. The appeals are listed today in terms of above.
5. The counsel for the appellants appears.
6. The counsel for respondent no.1 UOI has been heard separately in each of the appeals but for the sake of convenience, this common order is being passed.
7. The counsel for the respondent no.1 UOI states that these matters pertain to land acquired in village Rajapur Kalan compensation with respect to which was determined vide Award No.20/2003-04.
8. The counsel for the appellants states that compensation with respect to other land acquired vide the same notification and award has been determined by this Court vide judgment dated 23rd August, 2011 in LA APP. No.266/2008 titled ***Jai Singh Vs. Union of India*** and Civil Appeal No.675/2012 titled ***Union of India Vs. Jai Singh*** preferred thereagainst was dismissed by the Supreme Court on 16th December, 2014.
9. The counsel for the appellants further states that the appellants have already been paid the compensation as determined in ***Jai Singh*** supra and these appeals are liable to be dismissed and he thus withdraws these appeals.
10. The counsel for respondent UOI has no objection.
11. Dismissed as withdrawn.

No costs.

Decree sheets be drawn up.

12. Trial Court record, if requisitioned, be returned.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

SEPTEMBER 05, 2018

‘gsr’..