

\$~46

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3828/2018 and CM APP Nos.15173-74/2018

AMIT JAIN & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through : Mr. Ketan Madan and
Mr. Himanshu Harbola, Advs.

versus

REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES & ORS Respondents

Through : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, CGSC with Mr.
Shiv Kumar Yadav, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

18.04.2018

CM APP No.15174/2018

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 3828/2018 and CM APP No.15173/2018

2. The petitioners have filed the present petition, *inter alia*, impugning a list of disqualified directors published by the respondents to the extent that it includes the names of the petitioners.

3. The petitioners claim that they were appointed as Directors on the Boards of companies by the names: AJ Infosolution Private Limited and I.P. Celerate India Private Limited (hereinafter referred to as "Companies"). The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the Companies have not carried out any business for the past three years and that their bank accounts have also not been operated since 2011 and 2012, respectively. Furthermore, the petitioners state that they had not filed the Company's financial statements and statutory returns as

required under the extant provisions of law. The petitioners aver that it is on account of the aforementioned infraction of law that their names came to be included in the impugned list.

4. In this matter, I am informed by the learned counsel for the official respondents that the issues raised in the captioned matter are similar to those issues which have been raised before Division Bench-I in various matters pending before it.

5. Counsel for writ petitioner(s) are agreed that the interim directions passed by this court and those which have been passed by the Division Bench can form the basis of the disposal of the present writ petition with a right to revive the captioned petition, in respect of those issues which are not addressed by the Division Bench judgment.

6. Accordingly, the captioned writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:-

- (i) The operation of list of disqualified directors in so far as the inclusion of the name(s) of the writ petitioner(s) is concerned, shall remain stayed.
- (ii) The DIN and DSC of the writ petitioner(s) will stand activated.
- (iii) The writ petitioner(s) will have liberty to apply under the Condonation of Delay Scheme, 2018 (hereafter "Scheme"). Permission is granted to make the requisite filings in the form of hard copies.
- (iv) The writ petitioner(s) will deposit, if not deposited already, a sum of Rs.30,000/- qua each such company *vis-a-vis* whom steps for voluntary striking off are required to be taken. The said amount will be deposited in the form of Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDR) with the Registry of this court on or before 24.04.2018. The FDR will be created in favour of the ROC.
- (v) The amount deposited by way of FDR, as adverted to in clause (iv), will be in addition to other charges that would be payable under the Scheme. These sums will be deposited in the form of FDR as well. The writ petitioner(s) will also

furnish their calculations in that behalf.

7. The writ petitioner(s) will abide by the Division Bench-I order dated 21.03.2018, passed in a batch of writ petitions, the lead petition being W.P. (C) 9439/2017, titled: *Atul Khosla & Anr. v. Union Of India and Ors.*

8. Liberty, however, is given both to the petitioner(s) and the official respondents to revive the petition(s), in case, there are issues which are not covered by the Division Bench judgment.

9. Needless to say, the disposal of the writ petition will not come in the way of the official respondents presenting their point of view before the Division Bench.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

11. *Dasti* under signatures of the Court master.

APRIL 18, 2018

mk

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J