$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 4165/2018

VINSPAR INDUSTRIES AND ANR ..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sanjiv Bahl with Mr. Eklavya Bahl, Ms. Apoorva Bahl & Mr. Pawas Agarwal, Advs.

versus

THE HONBLE LT. GOVERNOR AND ORS. ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Gautam Narayan, ASC with Ms. Mahamaya Chatterjee & Mr. M.R.Abhinav Goyal, Advs. with Mr. Vinod Kumar, Dy. Commissioner. Ms. Renuka Arora with Ms. Nikita Salwan, Advs. for R-3/DSIIDC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

O R D E R

% 08.05.2018

CM App.No.16354/2018(exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 4165/2018

2. Notice in the petition was issued on 25th April, 2018.

3. Mr. Gautam Narayan, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 2 has reverted with instructions. Learned counsel concedes that no hearing in the review petition has taken place after 14th July, 1995.

4. In these circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with the directions to the respondent no. 1 to dispose of the review petition at the earliest, though, not later than six weeks from today. A copy of the order passed by the respondent no.1 will be furnished to the petitioner. The petitioner will be accorded personal hearing qua which a written notice will be issued to the petitioner. The respondent no.1, will pass a speaking order after hearing the petitioner.

5. Since, huge time gap exists between the passing of the order and the filing of the review petition, the respondent no. 1 is requested to take into account subsequent facts and circumstances which may have arisen, provided they are material and necessary for the disposal of the review petition.

6. Dasti.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

MAY 08, 2018/ak