

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 341/2018**
RAJIVNAYAN PANDEY Petitioner
Through: Mr. Suraj Prakash Singh, Advocate
versus

RAGHUVENDRA SINGH & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Mahender Kumar Bhardwaj
and Mr. K.C. Dubey, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **12.11.2018**

Contempt of order of 30th October, 2017 is alleged in this petition. Vide aforesaid order, respondents were directed to decide petitioner's Representation. Alongwith Reply to this contempt petition, copy of order of 13th March, 2018 (*Annexure P-13*) and order of 14th March, 2018 (*Annexure P-14*) have been annexed.

Learned counsel for respondents submits that compliance of order of 30th October, 2017 has been made. It is disputed by petitioner's counsel, who submits that the Representation has not been properly decided. If it is so, then the remedy available to petitioner is to assail the orders of 13th March, 2018 (*Annexure P-13*) and 14th March, 2018 (*Annexure P-14*) in accordance with law.

No further orders are called for in this contempt petition and it is accordingly disposed of.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 12, 2018

s