

61# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1228/2018**

RAJESH MANGAL & ANR

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Ranbir Sharma, Advocate
with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with
SI Shamsheer Singh and ASI
Kumer, PS Jaitpur.
Mr. Santosh Kumar Sahu,
Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

24.04.2018

Crl. M.A. No. 7506/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 1228/2018

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.906/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jaitpur, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the two petitioners are the only accused

W.P.(CRL) 1228/2018

Page 1 of 3

and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

Respondent No. 2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts on 20th December, 2016, copy whereof is annexed as Annexure-B of the paper book. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹1.50 lakhs to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹1 lakh and the balance amount of ₹50,000/- has been received by her today in Court in cash. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto and will abide by the terms of settlement.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts on 20th December, 2016 .

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in

question.

Consequently, FIR No.906/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jaitpur, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 24, 2018

‘vn’