

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 825/2018**

CHANDAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Utkarsh and Ms. Anshu Priyanka,
Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP with Insp.
Gajender Singh

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

31.10.2018

The counsel for the petitioner submits that he refuses to file a copy of the order framing charge in terms of the directions in the last order. His submissions on the last date were that no case is made out. The fact that charge has been framed and the case is at the stage of trial itself shows that the submissions cannot be accepted. The competent court of criminal jurisdiction has found sufficient material to put the petitioner on trial on charge for offences punishable under Sections 304B, 498A, 34 IPC. The refusal to place on record copy of the proceedings which are germane to the issue raised itself is a good ground to dismiss the bail application.

Dismissed.

R.K.GAUBA, J

OCTOBER 31, 2018

yg