

\$~45 & 46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.CAS(C) 259/2018, CM No. 14088/2018
GEETA CHAWLA & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Bhushan Bhatia, Adv.
with Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Adv.

versus

ANSHU PRAKASH CHIEF SECRETARY & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Avnish Ahlawat, SC with Ms.
Palak Rohmetra, Adv. for R-1, 2 & 4
Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with Mr.
Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-5 to 7

AND

+ CONT.CAS(C) 260/2018, CM No. 14060/2018
P KRISHNA KANTH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Bhushan Bhatia, Adv.
with Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Adv.

versus

ANSHU PRAKASH & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Avnish Ahlawat, SC with Ms.
Palak Rohmetra, Adv. for R-1, 2 & 4
Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with Mr.
Abhinav Tyagi, Adv. for R-5 to 7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

11.04.2018

CM No. 14088/2018 in CONT.CAS(C) 259/2018

CM No. 14060/2018 in CONT.CAS(C) 260/2018

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 18.01.2025 17:29:15
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

CONT.CAS(C) 259/2018
CONT.CAS(C) 260/2018

The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners alleging non-compliance of order dated September 04, 2017. The said order reads as under:-

“Mr.Sanjoy Ghose, learned counsel appearing for the GNCTD states, he has taken written instructions as per which, a fresh proposal along with the views expressed by the Finance Department is going to be placed before the Cabinet for taking a final decision. He states, it is very difficult for him to prescribe a time period in that regard.

Noting the above, the petition is disposed of by holding that the same is premature. It is expected that the Cabinet shall take the decision as expeditiously as possible, preferably within six months from today. If the petitioners are still aggrieved by any decision to be taken by the Cabinet, they shall seek such remedy as available to them in accordance with the law. The respondents shall be at liberty to take such objections as available to them on facts as well as in law. It is made clear that the Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the case.”

Suffice to state, a perusal of the order would reveal, the Court had only expressed its desire that a decision be taken as expeditiously as possible, preferably within six months from that date and nothing more. Even though, no action has been taken pursuant to the said order, noting the

3

tenor of the order a contempt petition would not be the remedy for the petitioners. Appropriate shall be for the petitioners to file a fresh writ petition for appropriate relief. In view of this order, Mr. Bhatia seeks to withdraw the contempt petitions. The same are dismissed as withdrawn.

V. Kameswar Rao

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

APRIL 11, 2018/ak