

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1830/2018 & CrI. M.A. No. 6556/2018

NEERAJ SINGH & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Tarun Goomber, Mr. Pankaj
Mendiratta, Mr. Gaurav Goswami and
Mr. Jitender Kumar, Advs.

Versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Amit Chadha, APP with SI
Rajesh Kumar, P.S. Mehrauli
Mr. Sanjeev Rarh, Adv. with
respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

12.04.2018

Notice. Learned APP accepts notice for respondent no. 1.
Respondent no. 2 Ms. Rajni is present in Court and accepts notice.
Respondent no. 2 has been identified by SI Rajesh Kumar of Police Station
Mehrauli.

Respondent no. 2 admits having settled the matter with petitioner no.
1 of her own free will, voluntarily and without any undue force, pressure or
coercion before Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, vide
Settlement Agreement dated 3rd February, 2017 (Annexure C). Respondent
no. 2 submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has already been

dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent dated 25th October, 2017 passed by the Family Courts, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi. Petitioner no. 1 has handed over a fixed deposit receipt of ₹24,00,000/- to respondent no. 2 in the name of minor child, namely, Rhythm Singh, photocopy whereof has been placed on record. Respondent no. 2 says that she has received entire settled amount, inasmuch as terms of the settlement have been complied with and has no objection in case FIR is quashed against the petitioner no. 1 and his father, that is, petitioner no. 2. Affidavit of respondent no. 2 is also on record at pages 88-89.

Keeping in mind the facts and circumstances as detailed above, more particularly, the fact that marriage of petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 has already been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent, in the interest of justice, FIR No.204/2013 under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Mehrauli and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

APRIL 12, 2018
r.bararia