

\$~15

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 293/2018**  
**RISHIPAL SINGH TOMAR** ..... Petitioner  
Through: In person.  
versus  
**ANSHU PRAKASH, CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT OF NCT OF**  
**DELHI & ORS** ..... Respondents  
Through: Ms. Ruchika Gupta and Mr.  
Siddhanth Kochhar, Advocates  
Ms. Sunaine Sharma, OSD (L)  
Zone 22, DOE

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**

**ORDER**  
% **13.12.2018**

Petitioner who appears in person submits that compliance has been made by respondents but belatedly.

Learned counsel for respondents submits that a representation to claim the MACP benefit has already been filed by petitioner but there is no order to grant MACP benefit to petitioner.

However, it is expected that petitioner's representation seeking benefit of MACP would be expeditiously decided by the concerned authority.

Since the compliance of order of 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017 has been made, therefore, this petition is disposed of as satisfied.

**(SUNIL GAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**DECEMBER 13, 2018/p'ma**