

\$~90

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1000/2018**

SANDEEP @ RAHUL & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj and Mr.
Kaushik Kharbanda,
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC with ASI
Pawan, PS Narela.
Mr. Dhan Mohan, Mrs. Tanu
B. Mishra, Mr. Ravi Mishra
and Ms. Harkamal Jeet Kaur,
Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

09.04.2018

Crl.M.A. No. 6218/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 1000/2018

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 560/2016 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Narela, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the three petitioners are the only

W.P.(CRL) 1000/2018

page 1 of 3

accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/ victim.

Respondent No. 2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners pursuant where to divorce by mutual consent has been granted between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹2,20,000/- to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹1,80,000/- and the balance amount of ₹40,000/- has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No. 747228 drawn on State Bank of India. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently FIR No. 560/2016 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Narela, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby

W.P.(CRL) 1000/2018

page 2 of 3

quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 09, 2018
‘yo’