

\$~58 & 63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1674/2018**

HEMANT KUMAR & ANR Petitioners

Through: Mr. B.K. Jha, Adv.
versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Nishant Dahiya, P.S. Ranjit
Nagar.
Ms. Divya Attri, Adv. for R-2 along
with R-2 in person.

CRL.M.C. 1690/2018

RAJ ASWAL & ORS Petitioner

Through: Ms. Divya Attri, Adv.
versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Nishant Dahiya, P.S. Ranjit Nagar.
Mr. B.K. Jha, Adv. for R-2 along with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% 06.04.2018

By these petitions, it has been prayed that following cross-FIRs may
be quashed:-

- a) FIR No. 72/2015 under Sections 354(A)(1)/506/34 IPC
registered at police station Ranjit Nagar on the complaint of
Smt. Shashi Bala w/o Sh. Anil Kumar (respondent no.2 in Crl.
M.C. 1690/2018 and her husband Sh. Anil Kumar is petitioner

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date:09.10.2024 15:56:55
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

no.2 in Crl. M.C. 1674/2018).

- b) FIR No. 73/2015 under Sections 354(A)(1)/34 IPC registered at police station Ranjit Nagar on the complaint of Ms. Komal D/o Sh. Laxman Dass (respondent no.2 in Crl. M.C. 1674/2018 and her father Sh. Laxman Dass is petitioner no. 2 in Crl. M.C. 1690/2018).

It is submitted that petitioners and private respondents are neighbours.

A quarrel took place between them on some trivial issue which led to registration of aforesaid FIRs. With the intervention of their common friends and other family members, petitioners and complainants have settled their disputes vide two separate Deed of Compromise dated 20th January, 2018 and 19th February, 2018, therefore, aforesaid FIRs may be quashed. Smt. Shashi Bala and Ms. Komal are present in Court and have been identified by SI Nishant Dahiya of police station Ranjit Nagar. They submit that they have settled their disputes of their own free will and without any undue force, pressure or coercion, therefore, they have no objection in quashing of the aforesaid FIRs. Affidavits of these complainants are also on record to this effect.

Keeping in mind the settlement arrived at between the accused (petitioners) and complainants (private respondents) voluntarily, in my view, no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the criminal proceedings

3

pending. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, aforesaid FIRs and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.


A.K. PATHAK, J.

APRIL 06, 2018

ga