

\$~4

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

FAO(OS) (COMM) 77/2018

M/S P E C LTD

..... Appellant

Through

Appearance not given.

versus

M/S MAA TARINI INDUSTRIES LTD & ORS..... Respondents

Through

Mr.J.P.Sengh, Sr. Adv. with Mr.Akhil Chhabra, Ms.Ritu Chhabra, Ms.Udisha, Ms.Manisha Mehta, Ms.Vaishali Tanwar, Ms.Riya Gandhi and Ms.Mrigna Shekhar, Advocates

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA**

**ORDER**

%

**14.05.2018**

We have heard counsel for the parties and noticed that the orders made during the course of the proceedings under Section 9 Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 on 04.07.2017 and 12.02.2018 to protect the appellant's interest, the respondent has fairly conceded that it would not interfere with the sale of ore in case any dispute with respect to the evaluation of the ore; if it arises, that would be subject to the arbitration proceedings given that the appellant has concededly invoked the arbitration clause in that case.

The appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

**S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J**

**A. K. CHAWLA, J**

**MAY 14, 2018**

ndn