

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3247/2018 & CMs12784-85/2018

ROHIT KHATRI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Mittal and Ms. Komal
Aggarwal, Advocates

versus

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Neelima Tripathi and Ms.
Gunjan Singh, Advocates for respondent-FCI
Mr. Nikhi Bhardwaj, Advocate for Mr. Arun
Bhardwaj, CGSC for respondent-UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
04.04.2018

%

Impugned order of 20th March, 2018 inflicts punishment of termination of service upon petitioner.

At the outset, it is brought to the notice of this Court that impugned order is appealable under Regulation 68 of the *Food Corporation of India (Staff) Regulations, 1971* and appellate authority in case of petitioner is the Executive Director (North) of respondent's Zonal Office at Noida.

Since impugned order is appealable, therefore, this petition and the applications are disposed of while relegating petitioner to avail of remedy of appeal. It is expected that if an appeal is filed by petitioner, then it would be decided expeditiously.

Since no effective orders have been passed in this petition,

therefore, the question of jurisdiction is left open.

This petition and the applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

APRIL 04, 2018

S