

\$~25

**\*IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3119/2018  
SOCIAL JURIST, A CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP .... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Ms.  
Girija Krishan Varma and Mr. Sachin  
kumar Sharma, Advs.

Versus

CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY  
EDUCATION (CBSE) AND ORS. ... Respondents

Through: Mr. Amit Bansal for R-  
1/CBSE  
Ms. Maninder Acharya,  
ASG with Mr.  
KirtimanSingh, CGSC, Mr.  
Sahil Sood, Mr. Hushul  
Chaudhary, Mr. Waize Ali  
Noor and Mr. Viplav  
Acharya, Advocates for  
UOI.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR**

**ORDER**  
% **16.04.2018**

**CM. APPL. No. 14775/2018**

1. This application has been filed by an NGO “Sunie”. The  
counsel for the applicant submits that the re-examination for the  
Class XII Economics paper has been scheduled by respondents on

25<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 which is in close proximity to the date fixed for several important entrance exams in different specialisation including engineering, armed forces and the medical colleges.

2. It is submitted by Mr. Amit Bansal, learned counsel for CBSE/respondent no.1 that the CBSE has taken into consideration all relevant aspects of the matter before scheduling the date. It has been submitted that no entrance examination has been pointed out by the applicant which is in proximity to the date fixed, i.e., 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 and that a lot of care has been taken before going into the selection of the date of examination.

3. Judicial notice can be taken on the fact that a re-examination needs to be fixed on a specific date and that fixation of any date would not satisfy all the students.

4. In the present case, the re-test for the class XII Economics paper has been necessitated because of a large-scale leakage of a question paper for the Economics examination.

5. The re-test has to be conducted. The scheduling thereof is beyond the competence of this Court. This application is, therefore, dismissed.

**W.P.(C) 3119/2018**

6. A status report has been filed on behalf of the Delhi Police which is handed over by Mr. Satyakam, learned ASC for respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record.

7. Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance/ prayer of the petitioner stands satisfied.

8. Accordingly, this writ petition does not detain us any further

which is, accordingly, disposed of.

**ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**C.HARI SHANKAR, J**

**APRIL 16, 2018**

Nk