

\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3112/2018 & CM. APPL.12366/2018**

SATPAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rameezuddin with Mr. Mohit
Gupta and Mr. Jatin Sethi, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC for
UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

09.04.2018

CM. APPL.12367/2018

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 3112/2018 & CM. APPL.12366/2018

2. Counsels are agreed that the issue raised in the present writ petition is covered by the judgement dated 22.3.2018 passed in various writ petitions including W.P.(C) No.2472/2018, titled: *Puja Tandon and Anr. vs. Union of India and Anr.* In sum, the petitioner seeks to revive the subject company by filing an appeal, if not already filed, before the NCLT under Section 252 of the Companies Act, 2013.

3. Counsel for the petitioner says that qua the subject company i.e., Landmark Facility and Property Management Private Limited, he seeks application of the directives contained in the aforementioned order, insofar as it concerns the petitioner.

4. Issue notice.

5. Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Counsels submit that in view of various orders passed by this Court in matters which are *pari materia*, this writ petition be disposed of in line with the aforesaid order.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the official respondents to apply the directives contained in order dated 22.03.2018, passed in W.P.(C) No.2472/2018, titled: *Puja Tandon and Anr. vs. Union of India and Anr.*

7. It is made clear that the directions contained in aforementioned order will apply *mutatis mutandis* to the petitioner(s) herein.

8. Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

APRIL 09, 2018

cks/aa