

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 44/2016, CM APPL. 12368/2016 (for condonation of 101 days delay in filing the appeal) and CM NO. 12369/2016(U/s 107(1)(d) to lead additional evidence)

SARLA @ SARITA

..... Appellant

Through: None.

versus

PARMENDER KUMAR

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **10.05.2018**

There is no appearance on behalf of the appellant on the second call. There was no appearance on behalf of the appellant at the first call either.

A perusal of the ordersheets reveals that there has been no appearance on behalf of the appellant for the last few dates of hearing, nor has the appellant filed the fresh address for the service of respondent, despite numerous opportunities.

The appellant does not seem to be keen to prosecute the present appeal. The same is dismissed in default.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

MAY 10, 2018
bg