

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 978/2018**

NARESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Anwesh Madhukar,**
Advocate (DHCLSC).

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Ms. Shikha Mehra and Ms.**
Sushila, Advocates for Ms.
Richa Kapoor, ASC for the
State with SI Vijay Singh, PS
Prashant Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **06.04.2018**

Crl.M.A. No. 6039/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 978/2018

By this petition, the petitioner seeks parole and challenges the communication dated 12th February, 2018 rejecting the application of the petitioner seeking parole on the ground to find suitable match for his daughter and for re-establishing social ties.

A perusal of the nominal roll reveals that when the communication rejecting parole was sent, the petitioner had already been granted furlough for a period of two weeks w.e.f. 6th February, 2018. Since after the filing of the application the petitioner has availed the benefit of parole for a period of two weeks, this Court finds no ground to grant parole to the petitioner.

W.P.(CRL) 978/2018

page 1 of 2

Petition is dismissed.

Copy of the order be communicated to the petitioner through
Superintendent, Mandoli Jail.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 06, 2018

‘yo’

W.P.(CRL) 978/2018

page 2 of 2