

\$-40 -42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 670/2018**

ANIL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Adv.
versus

STATE(NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP with SI Ashok
Kumar, P.S. Chanakya Puri.

AND

+ **BAIL APPLN. 671/2018**

MANTOSH

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Adv.
versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.... Respondent

Through Dr. M.P. Singh, APP with SI Ashok
Kumar, P.S. Chanakya Puri.

AND

+ **BAIL APPLN. 672/2018**

KARTIK

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Adv.
versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through Mr. G.M. Farooqui, APP for State
with SI Ashok Kumar, P.S. Chanakya
Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

23.03.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are
brothers. They had gone to Bangalore on 25th January, 2018 for *Pind Daan*

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 07.10.2024 17:02:15
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

of their father. All of them returned Delhi only on 2nd February, 2018. (Boarding passes have been annexed with the application and originals of the same have been shown during the course of hearing). Learned counsel further submits that on 1st February, 2018, petitioners were not present in Delhi and been falsely implicated in this case, since petitioner-Kartik had lodged an FIR No.6/2015 under Section 7/8/13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act against the SHO of police station Ambedkar Nagar.

Learned APP contends that on 1st February, 2018, SI Bimal, Ct. Pradeep Kumar, Ct. Vikas and HC Satish Kumar were on patrolling duty in the area around Basilsila in the Chirag Delhi at about 6:15 PM. When a secret informer met them and informed that petitioners were dealing in spurious liquor. He further informed that one boy Arjun would come to supply spurious liquor to petitioners at about 06:45 PM at Madangir, Dakshinpuri. Accordingly, raiding party of above officials was formed. One boy was seen coming on a scooty and on pointing of secret informer, the said boy (Arjun) was apprehended by the police. Arjun disclosed that he had come to supply the spurious liquor to the petitioners. That boy was in possession of large quantity of spurious liquor, which was seized. Arjun disclosed that he had brought the liquor to supply to petitioners.

I have considered the rival contentions of the parties and keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, it is ordered that petitioners be released on bail in case of arrest, subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with one surety each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer/SHO of the concerned police station. Petitioners shall, however, cooperate in the investigation and appear before

3
the Investigating Officer as and when they are called upon to do so.

Bail applications are disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.

MARCH 23, 2018

Pallavi


A.K. PATHAK, J.