

13# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 695/2018**

AVTAR KAUR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Vikas Padora, Mr.
Dipanshu Chugh and Mr.
Vaibhav Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for State
with ASI Hariom, PS Hari
Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

31.07.2018

%

1. By this petition the petitioner seeks bail in case FIR No.841/2015 under Sections 498A/304B/34 IPC registered at PS Hari Nagar, Delhi.
2. The above noted FIR was registered on the complaint of the father of the deceased namely Sandeep Kaur who stated that he had married his daughter with Ravindra on 24th March, 2014 and spent huge amount on the arrangement of the marriage and also given valuable gifts and jewellery items and other valuables to Ravinder and his family members as per their demand. After his daughter went to her matrimonial home she was subjected to physical and mental harassment by her husband and in-laws as they were not satisfied by the dowry given in the marriage. On 13th June, 2015 he got a call from his daughter who was in a frightened state stating that her husband may kill her for not fulfilling wishes of the in-laws. After

BAIL APPLN. 695/2018

Page 1 of 2

some time again he received a call wherein she stated that her in-laws are beating her for not fulfilling their demand of ₹15 lakhs. On the next day he received a call from the in-laws informing that Sandeep Kaur had passed away.

3. The cause of death of Sandeep Kaur was opined to be asphyxia due to ante mortem hanging. The petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased and has been in custody since 18th November, 2015. During the course of trial all material witnesses have been examined.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for now nearly two years and eight months and all material witnesses have been examined, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that the petitioner be released on bail on her furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court further subject to the condition that in case of change of residential address the petitioner will intimate the same to the learned Trial Court by way of an affidavit.

5. Petition is disposed of.

6. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 31, 2018

‘vn’