

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 647/2018**

AJAY @ SURAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shailesh Tiwari and Mr. Nikhil
Chauhan, Advs.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Vinay Kumar, P.S. Neb Sarai.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

23.03.2018

Bail Appln. 647/2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has no role to play in the offence. The SIM card through which the call was made to the complainant was that of Rinku Chauhan, who has already been arrested and released on regular bail. Petitioner's name has surfaced in the disclosure statement of co-accused Rinku Chauhan and Sadhna. No money was transferred in the account of petitioner nor any call was made by him.

Learned APP has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. It is contended that petitioner is brother of co-accused Sadhna. He is the mastermind of the crime as stated by co-accused persons in their disclosure statements.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances, it is ordered that in case of arrest petitioner be released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer/SHO of the concerned police station.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MARCH 23, 2018

ga