

\$~CP-1 to 3, 6 to 8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CO.PET. 289/2016

SIDDHARTH VERMA Petitioner
Through Ms.Shaoli Lala, Adv.
versus
M/S EARTH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD..... Respondent
Through

+ CO.PET. 545/2016

TRANSIT ADVERTISING PVT. LTD. Petitioner
Through Mr.Jeetender Gupta, Adv.
versus
EARTH INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED..... Respondent
Through

+ CO.PET. 805/2016

DEEPAK SHUKLA Petitioner
Through Mr.Abhay Kumar and Ms.Jyoti Sinha,
Adv.
versus
EARTH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD..... Respondent
Through

+ CO.PET. 837/2016

DEEPAK SHUKLA Petitioner
Through Mr.Abhay Kumar and Ms.Jyoti Sinha,
Adv.
versus
EARTH INFRASTRUCTURES LTD & ORS..... Respondent
Through

+ CO.PET. 972/2016

VEENA SURI Petitioner
Through Mr.Rajesh Goswami, Adv.
versus

EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED..... Respondent
Through

+ CO.PET. 1117/2016

RAVI BHUSHAN GOEL & ANR Petitioner
Through Mr.Rajesh Goswami, Adv.

versus

EARTH INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED..... Respondent
Through

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **16.11.2018**

As noted on the last date, NCLT on 6.6.2018 had appointed an IRP.

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that they will file claims before the IRP and seek liberty to withdraw the present petitions with liberty to revive these petitions in case anything survives after the proceedings before the NCLT are concluded.

Granting leave and liberty the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

At this stage, learned counsel for some of the petitioners submit that there are issues regarding limitation.

It would be open for the petitioners to file their claims before the IRP. In case, there is a delay, they may seek condonation of delay keeping in view the pendency of the present proceedings.. Any such request shall be dealt with by the IRP as per law.

JAYANT NATH, J

NOVEMBER 16, 2018

n