

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.CAS(C) 416/2014, CM APPL. 43029/2017 (for directions)
PINKI PUNIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pradeep Bharadwaj, Adv.

versus

BALVINDER KUMAR IAS VICE CHAIRMAN DELHI
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bansal, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Namit Suri, Ms. Fiza Saluja,
Ms. Karuna Singh, Ms. Aprajita Gupta
and Mr. Saaket Jain, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

% **08.10.2018**

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that, they had applied for a 3BHK flat, in the 'Scheduled Caste' category whereas what has been offered by the DDA is a 2BHK apartment in Paschim Vihar.

Mr. Rajiv Bansal, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the DDA, on instructions, states that, if and when a 3BHK flat in the category as applied by the petitioner in the scheme of 2008, is available to DDA, they would offer the same to the petitioner.

Directed accordingly.

With the above direction, the petition is disposed of accordingly.

Pending application also stands disposed of.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

OCTOBER 08, 2018/uj