

\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 4433/2013

KARAM CHAND & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Shauray Sahay, Advocate.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

..... Respondent

Through Ms. Shobhana Takiar with Ms.
Shweta Anand, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

28.01.2020

CM APPL.119/2020 (for extension of time)

1. By this application, petitioners seek extension of time granted to the petitioners to vacate the premises by order dated 24.07.2018.
2. In view of the directions passed by the Supreme Court in certain Special Leave Petitions of similarly situated persons these petitions were disposed of and petitioners were given time to vacate the subject premises by the end of December 2019, subject to filing affidavits of undertakings.
3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the Supreme Court in *Baljeet Singh And Anr. vs. Delhi Development Authority Through its Vice Chairman And Anr.* (SLP 33490-33492/2016) of similarly situated individuals, have extended the time to vacate till 31.03.2020.

4. Learned senior counsel appearing for the DDA submits that in other Special Leave Petitions, Supreme Court rejected similar applications and when this fact was brought to the notice of the Supreme Court in *Baljeet Singh & Anr (supra)*, the Supreme Court specifically directed that the extension was granted in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that they were unaware of the dismissal of similar applications in the other SLPs. He submits that the Supreme Court further directed that order of extension would not be treated as precedent and relied on in any case.

5. I am of the view that since this petition was disposed of on an undertaking filed or to be filed by the petitioners that they shall vacate the said premises on or before 31.12.2019, no application for extension of time would lie.

6. In any event, I am not inclined to extend the time since the petition was disposed of on an undertaking by the petitioner.

7. Application is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. Order *Dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JANUARY 28, 2020

st