

\$~164

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2735/2018

SURINDER KUMAR BHATIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddhartha Jha, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondent

Through: Ms. Maninder Acharya, ASG with
Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **22.03.2018**

1. In these matters, I am informed by learned ASG that the issues raised in the captioned matters are similar to those matters which have been raised before Division Bench-I in various matters pending before it.
2. Counsels for writ petitioners are agreed that interim directions passed by this court and those which have been passed by the Division Bench can form the basis of the disposal of the present writ petitions with a right to revive the captioned petition, in respect of those issues which are not addressed by the Division Bench judgment.
3. Accordingly, the captioned writ petitions are disposed of with the following directions:-
 - (i) The operation of list of disqualified directors in so far as the inclusion of the names of the writ petitioners are concerned, shall remain stayed.
 - (ii) The DIN and DSC of the writ petitioners will stand activated.
 - (iii) The writ petitioners will have liberty to apply under the Condonation of Delay Scheme 2018 (hereafter "scheme"). Permission is granted to make the requisite filings in the form of hard copies.

(iv) The writ petitioners will deposit a sum of Rs. 30,000/- qua each such company *vis-a-vis* whom steps for voluntary striking off are required to be taken. The said amount will be deposited in the form of Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDR) with the Registry of this court on or before 07.04.2018. The FDR will be created in favour of the ROC.

(v) The amount deposited by way of FDR as adverted to in clause(iv) will be in addition to other charges that would be payable under Scheme. These sums will be deposited in the form of FDR as well. The writ petitioners will also furnish their calculations in that behalf.

4. The writ petitioners will abide by the judgment of the Division Bench.

5. Liberty, however, is given both to the petitioner(s) and the official respondents to revive the petition(s), in case, there are issues which are not covered by the Division Bench judgment.

6. Needless to say, the disposal of the writ petition will not come in the way of the official respondents presenting their point of view before the Division Bench.

7. All pending applications shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

MARCH 22, 2018/c