

45# & 46# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 652/2018**

JOSE PHILIP VADAYIL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Harshal Gupta, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for State with SI Meetu Yadav,
PS Sunlight Colony.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 653/2018**

ANY JOSE

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Harshal Gupta, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for State with SI Meetu Yadav,
PS Sunlight Colony.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

23.03.2018

Crl. M.A. No. 5528/2018 (Exemption) in BAIL APPLN. 652/2018

Crl. M.A. No. 5529/2018 (Exemption) in BAIL APPLN. 653/2018

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 652/2018

BAIL APPLN. 653/2018

By this petition the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.316/2017 under Sections 498A/506/34 IPC registered at PS Sunlight Colony, New Delhi.

BAIL APPLN. 652/2018 and 653/2018

Page 1 of 2

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 07.10.2024 17:02:15
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

The petitioners are the parents-in-law of the complainant.

Learned APP for State on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that till date no permission has been sought by the Investigation Officer for arrest of the petitioners from the competent authority. Thus the petitions are pre-mature.

Petitions are accordingly disposed of directing the Investigating Officer that in case an approval is sought and granted for the arrest of the petitioners, two weeks' notice prior to arrest will be issued to the petitioners.

Order dasti.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 23, 2018
'vn'