

\$~35 to 37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 814/2018, Crl. M.A. 5109/2018

AMANDEEP SINGH JOHAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Borwankar, Mr. Roopenshu
Pratap Singh, Mr. David Vijay Thomas and Mr.
Barua Kaushik, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC for State with SI
Kishore Kumar, P.S. Patel Nagar.

Mrs. Saran Preet, Complainant with Mr. Sachin
Aggarwal, Adv. for the complainant.

+ W.P.(CRL) 1496/2018, Crl. M.As. 9187/2018, 9191/2018

HARVINDER KAUR JOHAR & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Sharma and Mr. Vikram
Singh Kushwaha, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mrs. Saran Preet, Complainant with Mr.
Sachin Aggarwal, Adv. for the complainant.

+ W.P.(CRL) 837/2018, Crl. M.A. 5213/2018

HARPREET KAUR CHANDHOK @ SEEMA & ANR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Borwankar, Mr. Roopenshu
Pratap Singh, Mr. David Vijay Thomas and Mr.
Barua Kaushik, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC for State with SI
Kishore Kumar, P.S. Patel Nagar.

Mrs. Saran Preet, Complainant with Mr. Sachin
Aggarwal, Adv. for the complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

%

ORDER
07.08.2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are serious discrepancies in the original complaint filed by R-2. The Charge-sheet has now been filed. He submits that there is manipulation with regards the petitioner's income, which initially was stated by the petitioner to be Rs.53,000/-, subsequently it was made Rs.1 lac per month. He also refers to other documents about stridhan which according to him have similar manipulation.

Mr.Sanjay Lao, the learned counsel for the State submits that since the Charge-sheet has now been filed, the petitioner has statutory remedy available to him and he may make similar arguments before the Trial Court concerned; he submits that the petition is pre-mature.

In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the writ petition and seeks to withdraw the same with a request that the Trial Court may be requested to expedite hearing of arguments on Charge.

The learned counsel for the State submits that the State too is interested in an expeditious trial and would make similar request to the Trial Court when the matter is listed.

The petition is disposed off in the above terms.

It will be always open to the parties to settle the lis by way of mediation.


NAJMI WAZIRI, J

AUGUST 07, 2018/acm