

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 118/2018
PNB HOUSING FINANCE LIMITED Petitioner
Through Mr.Nishant Maidasani, Adv.
versus

NISHA MITTAL & ORS. Respondents
Through None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER
% **18.05.2018**

In spite of the service, none appears for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already appointed a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes that have arisen between the parties in relation to the Loan Agreement.

In view of the appointment of the Arbitrator, the Interim Order dated 19.03.2018 shall continue unless varied/modified/vacated by the Sole Arbitrator on an application being filed by the respondents. It is made clear that the Arbitrator shall decide such application, if filed by the respondents, without being influenced by the observation made in the order dated 19.03.2018. It is further clarified that this Court has not given any opinion on the validity of the Arbitrator appointed by the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of in the above terms, with no order as to cost.

Dasti.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MAY 18, 2018/Arya