

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3327/2012

UNION OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Anil Soni, CGSC for UOI.

versus

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Dr L. C. Singh, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

29.01.2018

1. The petitioner (Union of India, Department of Personnel & Training - DoPT) has filed the present petition impugning an order dated 15.11.2010 (hereafter 'the impugned order') passed by the Central Information Commission (hereafter 'the CIC') giving directions under Section 19(8) of the Right to Information Act (hereafter 'the Act').
2. The principal issue involved in the present petition is whether the CIC has the power to issue directions under Section 19(8) of the Act without being in seisin of any appeal under Section 19(3) of the Act.
3. Notwithstanding, the aforesaid question, Mr Soni, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the directions issued by the CIC have been substantially complied with. The rejoinder filed on behalf of the petitioner also indicates that the steps have already been taken by the petitioner. He, however, states that there is no provision for uploading

information on the website of the CIC.

4. In the aforesaid, this Court had suggested that the CIC accept the compliances made by the petitioner and the impugned order be set aside without prejudice to the rival contentions.

5. Learned counsel for the CIC now states, on instructions, that the compliances made by the petitioner are accepted as full compliance of the impugned order.

6. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of by setting aside the impugned order. It is clarified that all rights and contentions of the parties are left open to be considered in an apposite case.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JANUARY 29, 2018
MK