

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ FAO 228/2013 and C.M. Appl. Nos.8236/2013, 6497/2014,
27074/2017 & 29461/2017

FARIDA KHATOON (MOTHER) Appellant
Through: Mr. Jai Bansal and Mr. Sudhir
Kumar, Advocates

versus

SHRI MOHD. TASLEEM (FATHER) Respondent
Through: Mr. S.N. Kalra and Ms. Jyoti Sharma,
Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.R. MIDHA

% **ORDER**
05.04.2018

1. The appellant has challenged the order dated 22nd January, 2013 whereby the Trial Court had declined the permanent custody of the minor children, Sajida Khatoon and Mohd. Yusuf.
2. The daughter Sajida Khatoon has now attained majority and, therefore, the appellant does not press for her permanent custody. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that the appellant be permitted to participate in the marriage ceremonies of Sajida Khatoon whenever fixed to which the respondent has no objection.
3. With respect to Mohd. Yusuf, who is aged about 15 years, both the parties agree that the permanent custody shall remain with the respondent. However, Mohd. Yusuf shall spend every second Saturday and every Sunday with the appellant. Mohd. Yusuf, present in Court, submits that he shall visit the appellant's house on his own every second Saturday and every Sunday and he shall spend the whole day with the appellant which is

acceptable to the appellant present in Court. The respondent shall bear the travel expenses of the child for visiting the mother in terms of this order.

4. This appeal is disposed of in the above terms. The pending applications are disposed of.

5. This Court appreciates the assistance rendered by learned counsels for the parties in resolving this matter.

6. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsels for the parties under signatures of the Court Master.

J.R. MIDHA, J.

APRIL 05, 2018

rsk