

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2022/2018 & CM No.8373/2018**

LORD BUDDHA EDUCATION SOCIETY AND ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.J.S. Bhasin & Mr.Nishant
Shokeen, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Ruchir Mishra, Mr.Mukesh Kr.
Tiwari & Mr.Abhishek Rana, Advs.
for R-1.
Mr.T. Singhdev with Mr.Tarun
Verma, Mr.Abhijit Chakravarty,
Ms.Michelle Biakthansangi, Ms.Puja
Sarkar & Ms.Amandeep Kaur, Advs.
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

06.03.2018

Vide the present petition, the petitioner-Institute has sought a direction for quashing the decision dated 06.01.2018 of respondent No.2, as per which it had recommended disapproval of the petitioner's application for renewal of permission for admission of the 3rd batch of MBBS course (150 seats) for the academic year 2018-2019. The petitioner has also sought a direction to respondent No.2 to accept its scheme for renewal of permission for admission of the 3rd

batch in MBBS course for the academic year 2018-19 and has prayed for a further direction to respondent No.1 to issue the letter of permission to the petitioner-institute for admitting students in the 3rd batch of MBBS course for the academic year 2018-2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after the respondent No.2 had issued the impugned order dated 06.01.2018 recommending disapproval of the renewal of permission to the petitioner, respondent No.1 had granted a hearing to the petitioner on 05.02.2018 and upon hearing the petitioners, the respondent No.1 had remitted the matter back to respondent No.2 with a request to review the application of the petitioner.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, he would be satisfied, if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to review the case of the petitioner in a time bound manner. He submits that keeping in view the deadline as laid down under the Regulations and the decision of the Supreme Court, grave prejudice would be caused in case, the respondents do not take a timely decision.

Learned counsel for the respondents, who appear on advance notice, assure the Court that the decision on the review of the petitioner's application will be taken by respondent No.2 within 5 weeks in accordance with the Regulations as also the contents of letter dated 13.02.2018 written by respondent No.1 to respondent No.2.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of binding respondent No.2 to the statement to decide the petitioner's application

within 5 weeks.

Needless to say that the present order, has been passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both the parties.

In case, the petitioners are still aggrieved by any order passed by the respondents, they may take legal recourse as permissible under law.

The pending application also stands disposed of.

REKHA PALLI, J

MARCH 06, 2018/gm