

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 665/2018**
SURENDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through Ms. Aishwarya Rao, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, ASC with Ms.
Jyoti, Adv along with SI Dinesh
Kumar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

% **05.03.2018**

The petitioner is seeking parole for a period of two weeks. He is aggrieved by the rejection order dated 05.01.2018 passed by the Competent Authority wherein his prayer had been rejected for the reason that he had availed of furlough w.e.f. 18.10.2017 to 01.11.2017.

A status report has been filed by the State. Learned counsel for the State informs this Court that in addition to the furlough availed of in the month of October, 2017 by the petitioner, the petitioner had thereafter taken the benefit of another furlough for a period of two weeks w.e.f. 11.01.2018 to 26.01.2018. The present petition had been filed on 22.02.2018 i.e. just one month of the benefit of furlough already having been availed of by the petitioner. The reason for seeking parole is to connect himself to society; learned counsel for the

State rightly points out that this was the reason why furlough had been granted to the petitioner for a period of two weeks i.e. w.e.f.11.01.2018 to 26.01.2018. If such a prayer is considered at this stage once again, the whole idea of the punishment imposed upon the petitioner would get lost. This Court notes this submission and appreciates it.

Petition is without any merit. Dismissed.

A copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent for intimation to the petitioner.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

MARCH 05, 2018

A