

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 94/2018 & CM APPL.8952-54/2018

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Arjun Mitra, Adv.
Mr.Atul Vyas, Joint Registrar, IIT
Delhi.

versus

MANIF ALAM & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Rajesh Gogna, CGSC for R-2 to
4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

09.03.2018

The appellant Indian Institute of Technology's grievance is that the learned Single Judge ignored and overlooked the statutory regulations that stipulated minimum academic achievements as part of the continuing educational requirements for the purposes of ongoing registration. It is urged that the Single Judge also overlooked the material fact that the respondent/writ petitioner had in fact been afforded an opportunity to better his grades despite which he was unable to do so. This Court is of the opinion that these facts do not appear to have been brought on record and, therefore, could not have been considered. It is, therefore, appropriate for the appellant to move the Single Judge on these aspects.

Learned counsel seeks liberty to withdraw the appeal and move the learned Single Judge, in a review. Liberty granted. In case the review is filed, the same shall be considered on its merits in accordance with law.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. All the pending applications also stand disposed of.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

MARCH 09, 2018

rk