

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1591/2018

COLONEL JAGANNATH SINGH MAHAVIDYALAYA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ravi Kant & Mr.Mayank Manish,
Advts.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Monika Arora with Mr.Kushal
Kumar, Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

% **20.02.2018**

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking a direction to the Northern Regional Committee/respondent no.2 to process and decide the application of the petitioner for grant of the final recognition to the remaining one unit of Diploma in Elementary Education (D.El.Ed.) of the petitioner/Institute for the next academic session under Regulation 7(16) of NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulation 2014.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had sought recognition of two units comprising 100 seats for the D.El.Ed. course, the respondent no.2 has granted recognition for only one unit i.e. 50 seats. He further submits that the respondent no.2 has upto this date, not pointed out any deficiency for not granting recognition for the second unit to the petitioner/Institute.

He, therefore, prays that the respondents be directed to consider the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition before taking a final decision in respect of the recognition of the second unit.

In support of his aforesaid plea, the learned counsel for the petitioner relies on the orders passed by this Court in *W.P.(C)5017/2016* titled **Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr. v. National Council for Teacher Education** and *W.P.(C) 3480/2017* titled **East N West Foundation & Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.** and prays for similar directions in the present case.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent/NCTE on advance notice is unable to either deny or admit the contentions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the orders passed by this Court, the respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order within six weeks.

If the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he will have liberty to take legal recourse as per by law.

The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

A copy of this order be given **dasti** under the signature of Court Master.

REKHA PALLI, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2018/gm