

\$~23,24,26,27,29&66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ LA.APP. 112/2013
TARA CHAND Appellant

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.
Ms. Anusuya Salwan & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Adv. for DSIIDC.

AND

LA.APP. 124/2013

MANGLI Appellant

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Versus

UOI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.
Ms. Anusuya Salwan & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Adv. for DSIIDC.

AND

LA.APP. 127/2013

HARI SINGH (DECEASED) THR HIS LEGAL HEIRS..... Appellant

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Versus

UOI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
Ms. Anusuya Salwan & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Adv. for DSIIDC.

AND

LA.APP. 129/2013

SARUPI DEVI Appellant

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.
Ms. Anusuya Salwan & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Adv. for DSIIDC.
AND

LA.APP. 142/2013
SATISH KUMAR Appellant
Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv.
Versus

UOI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
Ms. Anusuya Salwan & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Adv. for DSIIDC.
AND

LA.APP. 120/2014
NAFE SINGH & ANR Appellants
Through: Ms. Moni Cinmoy, Adv.
Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for UOI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER
% **05.09.2018**

1. All these appeals under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were preferred against the judgments and decrees in a Reference under Section 18 of the Act seeking further enhancement in compensation.
2. The appeals were admitted for hearing and ordered to be listed in the category of "Regulars".

3. The counsel for the respondent no.1 Union of India (UOI) on request of this Court to furnish particulars of 'covered' matters pending consideration, furnished the particulars and the Registry was directed to list the said matters in the cause list in the category of 'After Notice Miscellaneous Matters'. The Court Master was also directed to telephonically inform the Advocates for the private parties of the matters so ordered to be listed..
4. The appeals are listed today in terms of above.
5. The counsel for respondent no.1 UOI has been heard separately in each of the appeals but for the sake of convenience, this common order is being passed.
6. The counsel for respondent no.1 UOI states that these matters pertain to land acquired in village Rajapur Kalan compensation with respect to which was determined vide Award No.10/2004-05.
7. The counsel for the appellant in all these appeals appears and states that these appeals were filed because the Special Leave Petitions (SLPs) to the Supreme Court against compensation in other appeals with respect to other land acquired vide the same notification and award were pending in the Supreme Court but now that the Supreme Court has dismissed the said SLPs, each of the appellants withdraws these appeals.
8. The appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.
No costs.

Decree sheets be drawn up.

9. Trial court record, if requisitioned, be returned.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

SEPTEMBER 05, 2018

‘gsr’..

Page 4 of 4