$~19.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P. (C) No. 1279/2018 and CM No. 5361/2018

VIOWN METTUBE PRIVATE LIMITED ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Ruchir Bhatia, Advocate.

versus

COMMISSIONER, TRADE & TAXES AND ANOTEHR ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Shadan Farasat, ASC & Mr. Ahmed Said, Advocate alongwith Mr. Dinesh Gandhi, VATO.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

<u>O R D E R</u>

% **22.03.2018**

Counsel for the respondents states that notice under Section 59(2) of the Delhi Value Added Tax Act, 2004 have been issued and the petitioner is required to produce C Form and other documents.

2. Counsel for the petitioner states that the documents required would be produced before the respondent authority.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, states that on documents being produced and examined, a speaking adjudication order on refund would be passed within a period of four weeks from the date last document is submitted.

4. This order to be passed by the respondent authority would also record reasons in case interest on refund is entirely or partly denied.

5. Taking the statement made by the counsel for the parties on record and with the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to ask for revival of the writ petition in case order is not passed within the said time. In case petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed, he would be entitled to challenge the same in accordance with law. CM No. 5361/2018 is also disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

MARCH 22, 2018
VKR