

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 1225/2018 & C.M. No.5095/2018
USHA RANI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Petitioner
Through Mr.Amitesh Kumar, Adv. with
Ms.Binisa Mohanty, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
AND ANR. Respondents
Through Ms.Monika Arora, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER
% **09.02.2018**

In the present writ petition, the petitioner/Institute is seeking a direction to the Eastern Regional Committee/respondent no.2 to process and decide the application of the petitioner for grant of final recognition to the remaining one unit of D.El.Ed course under Regulation 7(16) of NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulation 2014.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had applied for recognition of two units comprising 100 seats for the D.El.Ed. course, the respondent no.2 has granted recognition for only one unit i.e. 50 seats and till date has not communicated any decision regarding the second unit comprising of 50 seats. He further submits that the respondent no.2 has upto this date, not pointed out any deficiency/reason for not granting recognition for the second unit.

He therefore prays that the respondents be directed to consider the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition before taking a final decision in respect of recognition of the second unit.

In support of his aforesaid plea, the learned counsel for the petitioner relies on the orders passed by this Court in W.P.(C)5017/2016 titled Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr. v. National Council for Teacher Education, W.P.(C) 3480/2017 titled East N West Foundation & Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and W.P.(C)5017/2016 titled Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education and Anr. and prays for similar directions.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent/NCTE on advance notice is unable to either deny or admit the contentions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the orders passed by this Court, the respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order within six weeks.

If the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he will have liberty to take legal recourse as per by law.

The petition and pending application are disposed of in the above terms.

A copy of this order may given *Dasti* under the signature of Court Master.

FEBRUARY 09, 2018/aa

REKHA PALLI, J