

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1157/2018 & CM No.4842/2018**

DR. HEMANT GOEL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Sandeep Bajaj with Mr.S. Qureshi
& Mr.Naman Tandon, Advocates.

versus

DELHI MEDICAL COUNCIL AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.V.K. Garg, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Praveen Khattar & Mr.Noopur
Dubey, Advocate for R-1.
Mr.Nitesh Jain with Ms.Achal Gupta,
Advocates with Mr.Satish Tyagi
Secretary of R-2.
Mr.Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.H.S. Kohli, Ms.Pusshp Gupta &
Mr.Sahil, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

07.02.2018

The petitioner who is a registered medical practitioner enrolled with respondent No.1 has approached this Court by way of the present petition with a prayer to decide the representations dated 26.01.2018 and 30.01.2018. The petitioner has also sought a direction for stay of the elections of respondent No.2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, he is pressing only the first relief and the petitioner would be satisfied if the respondent No.1 is

directed to consider his representations in an expeditious manner by passing a reasoned and speaking order. He prays that before a final decision is taken on his representations, the petitioner may also be given a hearing so that he can explain the issues raised by him in a more effective manner.

Learned senior counsel for the respondent No.1 submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid request of the petitioner. He however, prays that eight weeks time be granted to respondent No.1 for deciding representations of the petitioner. However, keeping in view the fact that the matter raises an important question regarding eligibility of respondent No.3 for contesting elections of respondent No.2, I deem it appropriate to direct the respondent No.1 to decide the petitioner's representations within a period of two weeks by passing a reasoned and speaking order dealing with all contentions raised by the petitioner.

Needless to say that the present order has been passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both the parties. If the petitioner is still aggrieved by the order passed on his representations, he would be entitled to take legal recourse as per law.

The petition is disposed of in the above terms. The pending application also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given **dasti** under the signatures of the Court Master.

REKHA PALLI, J

FEBRUARY 07, 2018/gm