

2

\$~44, 45 & 46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1789/2018, CM No. 7392/2018

+ W.P.(C) 1792/2018, CM No. 7397/2018

+ W.P.(C) 1798/2018, CM No. 7406/2018

SMT. INDU BALA JAIN

SMT. INDU BALA JAIN

SH. RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.K. Gupta, Adv.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sameer Vashisht, ASC with Mr.
M. Jha, Adv. for R-1 (item no. 44)
Ms. Jyoti Taneja, Adv. for NDMC/R-
2 (item No. 44)
Mr. Brijesh Singhal, Adv. for R-2
(item No.45)
Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC
(GNCTD) for R-1 (item no. 46)
Mr. Lakshay Sawhney, Adv. for R-2
(item No.46)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

% **01.03.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, the amended memo of parties has been filed in the Registry yesterday. The same is not on record. The Registry to place the same on record.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 that the petitions have been filed against show cause notice (s) dated September 19,

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date:16.10.2024 16:56:32
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

3

2017. The petitioners have filed replies to the said show cause notice (s) on February 28, 2018. She on instructions also state, no final orders have been passed on the show cause notice (s) dated September 19, 2017. She state, the replies filed by the petitioners shall be considered and speaking orders shall be passed and if the petitioners are still aggrieved, the petitioners would be within their right to approach this Court.

At this stage, Mr. Gupta, Id. Counsel for the petitioners state that even though the replies filed are very brief, the respondents may consider the writ petition as the replies to the show cause notice (s). This suggestion of Mr. Gupta is acceptable to the learned counsel for the respondent No.2. The Competent Authority, while considering the replies dated February 28, 2018 shall consider the writ petitions and the documents annexed thereto as supplementary replies of the petitioner^{Uda} to the show cause notice (s) dated September 19, 2017 and pass speaking orders as expeditiously as possible. If the petitioners are still aggrieved with the orders to be passed by the respondent No.2, liberty is with the petitioners to seek such remedy as available in law. Petitions and the connected applications are disposed of.

Dasti.

Uda

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MARCH 01, 2018/ak