

\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **OMP (I) (COMM.) 69/2018 & IA No. 1798/2018, 1799/2018 & 1800/2018**
PATIL CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rajshekhar Rao, Mr.Shivaji M. Jadhav, Mr.Anish R. Shah, Mr.Nicholas Choudhary, Mr.Brij Kishor Shah & Mr.Chaitanya Puri, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

06.02.2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the Agreement in question was executed at Baitar. He fairly concedes that there is no Clause in the Agreement which provides that the seat of Arbitration would be at Delhi.

On instructions in view of the above pecuniary facts, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition with a liberty to file the same before the Court of competent jurisdiction.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Dasti, under the signatures of the court master.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

FEBRUARY 06, 2018/rv