

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3660/2016**

MANOJ KUMAR Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ranbir Chauhan, Advocate**

versus

SANGEET NATAK AKADEMI AND ORS Respondents

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Gogna and Ms. L.**

Gangmei, Advocate for respondent No.1

Ms. Arti Bansal and Ms. Madhuri Dhingra,

Advocate for respondent No.3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

20.04.2018

%

In this petition, quashing of Result of 23rd May, 2015 is sought by petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent-Akademi submits as under: -

“The Akademi have received a letter from Ministry of Culture about the action taken on the basis of letter dated 16.04.2018, issued by the Ministry of Culture, has reconsidered the stand taken by the Akademi before the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi and has realized that the procedure of appointment was not in consonance with the recruitment rules of the SNA and the presence of the representative of the Ministry of Culture was a prerequisite in the process of appointment. Also, the committee was Chaired by Smt. Helen Acharya, Acting Secretary, whereas as per RRs the committee was supposed to be Headed by Deputy Secretary (F&A).

Moreover, the composition of the Selection Committee was supposed to be chaired by Deputy Secretary (Finance & Accounts) but it was chaired by Smt. Helen Acharya, the then Acting Secretary.”

In view of stand taken as aforesaid, impugned Result of 23rd May, 2015 is declared to be null and void. Needless to say, petitioner would be at liberty to apply afresh and seek age relaxation in case the post in question is sought to be filled by respondent-Academy.

With aforesaid observations, this petition is disposed of.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

APRIL 20, 2018

S