

\$~23.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P. (C) 1662/2008

**AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OFFICERS ASSOCIATION AND
OTHERS**

..... Petitioners

Through Ms. Saahila Lamba, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Shalini Nair & Ms. Rabiya Thakur,
Advocates for Ms. Anjana Gosain, Advocate for
respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

28.09.2018

%

CM No. 39876/2018

After some hearing, learned counsel for the applicant states that in view of the subsequent developments, they would not press the present application, provided the Court clarifies that members of the applicant association would be entitled to raise their individual grievance, if required and necessary. The dismissal of the present writ petition would not deny and deprive individual members from initiating judicial proceedings.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection if this statement is accepted.

3. Taking the statements on record, we dismiss the present application and clarify that individual members of the applicant association would be

entitled to raise their personal grievance in accordance with law. Dismissal of the writ petition on default would not be a ground to reject a prayer made by an individual member.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

SEPTEMBER 28, 2018
VKR