

\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ITA 320/2018, C.M. APPL.10804/2018**

THE PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX -CENTRAL -3

..... Appellant

Through : Sh. Ruchir Bhatia, Advocate.

versus

UPPAL CHADHA HI-TECH DEVELOPERS (P) LTD.

..... Respondent

Through : Ms. Ananya Kapoor and Sh. Salil Kapoor,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

20.03.2018

The Revenue's appeal urges that the Tribunal fell into error in disallowing of interest and making addition in completed assessment of the assessee/respondent. Both the CIT(A) and the Tribunal in the present case were of the opinion that in the absence of any incriminating material seized in the course of search operations, the Assessing Officer (AO) could not have brought to tax the amounts that were already disclosed and part of the record. The lower appellate authorities relied upon *Commissioner of Income Tax v. Kabul Chawla* 380 ITR 573 (Del). No question of law arises. The appeal is dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

MARCH 20, 2018/ajk