

\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 104/2017**

DEEPAK MAHAJAN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None

versus

SATYA PRAKASH

..... Respondent

Represented by: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

09.04.2018

Despite passover, none appears on behalf of the petitioner. While adjourning the matter on the last date, this Court imposed cost. No receipt of the cost was deposited.

The petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 09, 2018

mamta