

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CM No.4020/2019 & Rev.P.No.31/2019 in
+ W.P.(C) 963/2018

3R TECHNOLOGIES Petitioner
Through : Mr. Akhilesh Singh, Adv.

versus

U.O.I. AND ANR. Respondents
Through : Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC
with Ms. Saakshi Aggarwal and
Mr. Waize Ali Noor, Advs. for
R-1.
Mr. Tarveen Singh Nanda and
Mr. Rahul Singhal, Advs. for
R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER
% **28.01.2019**

Rev.P.No.31/2019

This review petition has been preferred by the petitioner, against the impugned order dated 29.11.2018, primarily on the ground that the letter dated 10.12.2017 of the Principal Secretary to the Prime Minister, had required the DIPP to change or modify its policy.

The Court had rejected the petitioner's complaint that the MSME Act and policies framed under it, were not followed by the respondents. The Court had relied upon a previous judgment which

had influenced the policy makers in this case to frame the tender conditions in the manner that they did. Furthermore, the Court had considered the record which disclosed that the contract tendered for, was a composite one, of which the equipments supplied was not substantial. What the review petitioner had sought was an effective direction that the tender should split-up the procurement of services and goods. Such direction cannot be given in the course of writ proceedings. The Court therefore, discerns no error apparent on the face of the record or sufficient cause to review the impugned order. The review petition is therefore, dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 28, 2019

aj