

\$~3.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 83/2018**

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Katyal, APP with SI Sunil
Kumar, PS Govind Puri

versus

JAI PAL SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. B.Badrinath, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

24.07.2018

%

We have heard Mr. Katyal, learned APP as well as Mr. B. Badrinath, the counsel representing the respondent at some length.

We are not inclined to proceed further with this petition since, in our view the prosecution was not able to muster enough evidence to secure the conviction of the accused.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

The personal bond furnished by the respondent stands discharged.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

I.S.MEHTA, J

JULY 24, 2018

N.Khanna