

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 44/2018 & I.A. 889/2018, I.A. 4723/2018

HSIL LIMITED

Through

..... Plaintiff

Mr. Manav Gupta with
Mr. Prabhsahay Kaur, Ms. Esha
Dutta, Advocates

versus

VEE KAY & SONS AND ANR

Through

..... Defendants

Mr. Arun Gupta with Mr. Ankit Jain,
Advocates**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN**

%

ORDER
23.04.2018

Present suit has been filed for permanent injunction restraining infringement of trademarks, copyright, misrepresentation, passing off, unfair competition, dilution, damages and delivery up etc.

Though no infringing material was seized by the Local Commissioner during the investigation, yet learned counsel for the defendants states that the defendants undertake not to use any of the impugned marks without the explicit permission of the plaintiff in future.

In view of aforesaid statement, learned counsel for the plaintiff does not wish to press the suit for any other or further relief.

The statement and undertaking made by learned counsel for the parties are accepted by this Court and parties are held bound by the same.

Consequently, present suit is decreed in accordance with the aforesaid statements made by learned counsel for the parties. Registry is directed to prepare a decree sheet accordingly.

Registry is also directed to issue to an authorised representative of the plaintiff a certificate authorizing him/her to receive back from the Collector full amount of the Court fee paid by it in the present suit.

With the aforesaid observations, present suit and all pending applications stand disposed of.

MANMOHAN, J

APRIL 23, 2018

rn