

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 499/2018**

SUKHMANI ENTERPRISES Petitioner
Through **Mr. S.K. Khurana, Advocate.**

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES & ANR Respondent
Through **Mr. Rahul Sharma and Mr. C.K. Bhatt, Advocates.**

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER
% **13.03.2018**

Counsel for the respondents states that refund has been processed. Counsel for the petitioner accepts the said position but states that they would be preferring objections in view of certain adjustments made.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the respondents have not passed a speaking order on the question of interest.

Respondents would pass a speaking order on the question of interest within four weeks from today. If aggrieved, the petitioner would be entitled to challenge the order in accordance with law.

Recording the statements made by the counsel for the parties and with the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of

without commenting on merits and leaving the parties to bear their own cost.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

MARCH 13, 2018
NA