

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 67/2018 & CrI. M.B. no. 121/2018 (suspension of sentence)

RUKHSHANA & ANR Appellants
Through Mr. Dalip Kumar Santoshi, Adv.

Versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR... Respondents
Through Mr. G.M. Farooqui, APP for
respondent no. 1
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Adv. for
respondent no. 2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER
% **01.02.2018**

Appellants and respondent no. 2 (complainant) have settled their disputes before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 29th January, 2018, vide a Settlement Agreement mark "C-1". Learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 says that settled amount has already been received by the respondent no. 2; therefore, respondent no. 2 has no objection in case offence under Section 135 of the Indian Electricity Act, 2013 is permitted to be compounded.

In view of the settlement arrived at between the appellants and

respondent no. 2 before the Mediation Centre, inasmuch as settled amount having been paid by the appellants to the respondent no. 2, offence under Section 135 of the Indian Electricity Act, 2013 is permitted to be compounded. Appellants are acquitted.

Appeal is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

FEBRUARY 01, 2018
r.bararia