

\$~4 to 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 87/2012**

BALJEET Appellant

Through: Ms. Pragya P. Singh, Advocate.
Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr.
Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay
Verma, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+ **CRL.A. 129/2012**

KULWINDER Appellant

Through: Ms. Pragya P. Singh, Advocate.
Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr.
Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay
Verma, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+ **CRL.A. 1299/2012**

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

versus

KULWINDER & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. M.L. Yadav, Advocate.
Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr.
Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay
Verma, Advocates
Ms. Pragya P. Singh, Advocate.

+

CRL.A. 139/2012

KAMALA & ORS.

..... Appellants

Through: Ms. Pragya P. Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+

CRL.A. 190/2012

KARAM PAL

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr. Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay Verma, Advocates

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+

CRL.A. 210/2012

DHARAMBIR @ ILLA & ORS.

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr. Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay Verma, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+

CRL.A. 226/2012

RAMPHAL & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr. Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay Verma, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

+

CRL.A. 1472/2013

STATE & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP for State.

versus

JASBIR @ LILLU

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kalia, Mr. Anupam, Mr. Sudarshan Rajan and Mr. Ajay Verma, Advocates

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

%

05.03.2018

1. The status report filed today by the State reveals that four of the accused persons i.e. Baljeet S/o Inder (Accused No. 42: A-42), Bobal @Langra S/o Tek Chand (A-94), Rishi S/o Satbir (A-23) and Jagdish @ Hathi S/o Baru Ram (A-17) have expired.

2. In that view of the matter, Crl. A. 87/2012 filed by Baljeet S/o Inder is disposed of as having abated.

3. Likewise, in Crl. A. 210/2012, it is seen that Bobal @ Langra (A-94) is Appellant No.2. That appeal as far as he is concerned will be treated as having been abated. The said appeal will continue on behalf of Appellants 1 and 3 therein.

4. Rishi (A-23) and Jagdish @ Hathi (A-17) were acquitted by the trial Court and have been arrayed as Respondents 15 and 17 respectively in Crl. A. 159/2012 (*Kamala & Ors. v. State of NCT of Delhi*) and Respondents 14

and 16 respectively in Crl. A. 1299/2012 (*State v. Kulwinder & Ors.*). Baljeet (A-42) and Rishi (A-17) have been arrayed as Respondents 5 and 9 respectively in Crl. A . 159/2012 and Respondents 4 and 8 respectively in Crl. A. 1299/2012. Accordingly the said appeals as far as the said four accused (A-17, A-23, A-42 and A-94) are concerned, should be treated as having been dismissed.

5. Mr. Kalia, learned counsel, pointed out that in the State's appeal Crl. A. 1299/2012, Balwan (R-31) S/o Jai Lal, Amit S/o Satyawar (R-59) and Rajbir@Nanha S/o Mai Chand (R-68) have not been served with notice. However, counsel for the State points out that the aforementioned three Respondents have been served through their close relatives. R-59 has been served through his father, Satyawar, R-62 (Balwan) through his brother (Dilbag) and R-68 (Rajbir) through his brother (Dharamvir).

6. List on 9th April, 2018, the date already fixed.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

I.S. MEHTA, J.

MARCH 05, 2018

nd