

\$~20

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 471/2018

RANJANA SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mrs. Rani Chhabra with Ms. Priyanka  
Sony, Advocates.

versus

THE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER**

**ORDER**

%

**17.01.2018**

This writ petition is based on apprehension generated on account of a newspaper report dated 08.01.2018 published in the Times of India, Delhi edition.

Mrs. Chhabra, Advocate who appears for the petitioner says that a liquor vend is being run by the petitioner in Tilak Nagar, commercial area, since 2010 in conformity with the Delhi Excise Act and the Rules framed thereunder. Mrs. Chhabra also says that retail liquor vend conforms to the provisions of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, whereunder, licence has been granted to the petitioner. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in possession of an L-52 licence and, therefore, the petitioner's liquor vend cannot be shut down.

According to me, at this stage the writ petition is premature, as it is based on mere apprehension apparently, created out of a newspaper report.

The newspaper report simplicitor cannot be taken into account by the Court for coming to a conclusion one way or other at this stage.

The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court as and when there is a tangible apprehension of infringement of her legal rights based on action taken by the authorities concerned.

**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J**

**JANUARY 17, 2018**

hs